
From: nigel cook

Sent: 15 September 2020 20:41

To: John Cook

Subject: FW: Malicious assault and prosecution by fake, disproved "no insurance" allegation. Your ref. 0422050114709960. Car: LG13UHB, Mitsubishi Colt, at A133 Wheeley Roundabout multiple-police car "sting operation", Essex, 01/09/2020, at 11.22 hours.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [nigel cook](#)

Sent: 15 September 2020 14:22

To: RPS@essex.police.uk

Cc: [Christopher Holland PC 42070635](#)

Subject: RE: Malicious assault and prosecution by fake, disproved "no insurance" allegation. Your ref. 0422050114709960. Car: LG13UHB, Mitsubishi Colt, at A133 Wheeley Roundabout multiple-police car "sting operation", Essex, 01/09/2020, at 11.22 hours.

42 Pampas Close
Highwoods
Colchester
Essex
CO4 9ST

Mr Mick Green

Road Policing Support Manager

Essex Police

PO Box 7807

Billericay

CM12 9WF

(By signed-for, tracked recorded deliver, in post)

Also: RPS@essex.police.uk (by email with request of acknowledgement of receipt).

Cc: Christopher.Holland@essex.police.uk

15/09/2020

Without prejudice.

Dear Mike Green,

RE: Malicious assault and prosecution by fake, disproved "no insurance" allegation.

Your ref. 0422050114709960. Car: LG13UHB, Mitsubishi Colt, at A133 Wheeley Roundabout multiple-police car "sting operation", Essex, 01/09/2020, at 11.22 hours.

1(a). "After finding out the message about your insurance, I contacted Direct Line and spoke to the under-writer who confirmed your policy of insurance would have covered you at the time of stopping you." – Police Officer Christopher Holland PC 42070635 - Christopher.Holland@essex.police.uk by email, 02 September 2020 21:56 RE: Letter of complaint RE PC Holland 70635 (this email is attached as evidence against you).

1(b). Your letter ref. 0422050114709960 dated 09/09/2020 – a week after the email quoted in 1(a). above, therefore constitutes illegal harassment by Mick Green.

1(c). I hereby inform you that under **subsections (b) and (b) of subsection (3) of section 143 of the Road Traffic Act 1988:**

"143. Users of motor vehicles to be insured ...

" (3). A person charged with using a motor vehicle in contravention of this section shall not be convicted if he proves—...

"(b). that he was using the vehicle in the course of his employment, and

"(c) that he neither knew nor had reason to believe that there was not in force in relation to the vehicle such a policy of insurance ... as is mentioned in subsection (1) above."

1(d). Any Court magistrate acting in these matters will be familiar with the above quotation from the UK law statute books. *You therefore do not have any legal case under any circumstances whatsoever.* I anyway proved to police that I was insured.

1(e). At lunchtime, Tuesday 1 September 2020, while on the way home from inspecting an ebay-advertised stair-lift for my 87 year old incapacitated parents for whom I was sole carer at the time – father whom I also care for was on Tiptree Ward, Colchester hospital, awaiting discharge when a stair-lift could be installed – my car was illegally seized by a Police Officer on the false allegation that I was uninsured.

1(f). I had confirmed with Direct Line, my insurers, that I was insured to drive the car at the time with 3rd party Direct Line insurance, and the Police Officer has since emailed me to confirm this fact to me (see attached documentation). Despite this, I have today received your letter dated 9 September 2020 which wastes Police time and my time and causes me more worry and expense in responding. Your letter states a malicious, facetious and deliberate lie: "There is sufficient evidence to take proceedings against you for this [non-existent] offence..."

1(g). I was fully insured to drive the vehicle at the time it was illegally seized, and I was assaulted by a Police Officer who failed to comply with covid-19 face coverings safeguards by coming closer than 2 metres (I have suffered lifelong frequency dependent hearing distortion and can't hear normal speech beyond 1 meter beside a busy roundabout). The Police Officer later lied in an email about this to cover up her assault against me. As carer for elderly parents, this is a serious matter, and reduced my ability to argue safely with the lady.

1(h). Despite "bending over backwards" to amicably resolve the problem quickly with the Police Officer and prevent these offences against myself and my parents going further, I have been treated appallingly since the time of the illegal seizure of my car and my assault by the Police Officer, described in paragraphs 1(a). and 1(b). above and also documented in letters sent by recorded delivery to Essex Police, Colchester, on 2 September 2020 (see attached documents), I have been

treated appallingly. I have had been forced to pay out £25 taxi fare to "Lucky Sixes" taxis to get home from Clacton to sick bed-ridden mother who is confined upstairs at present due her left hip, £190 to collect the car, and another £15 for a taxi to get to the car (after first walking to collect it at the arranged time and then being fobbed off by LG Transportation Ltd, the Police subcontractor). I have received no refund let alone offer of compensation, nor a crime number for the illegal vehicle seizure and assault.

1(i). If illegal harassment continues I will be sending a copy of the Mick Green letter and my complaint to my local MP to try to have the matter raised in Parliament, and also to news agencies in relation to police being funded to assault people and do illegal activities, apparently with no proper supervision by their seniors.

1(j). If you insist on prosecuting me in Court, I will be forced to find a solicitor to represent me in Court, supply said solicitor with a sworn affidavit to confirm the statements above and previous statements to police, so that I can continue to care for my elderly parents.

1(k) This will result in legal fees being added to the other compensations, which may come out of the Essex Police funding budget, in addition to your self-imposed wasting of your valuable Police time, as well as wasting my time when I am trying to keep elderly parents safe at home almost single-handed. I was single-handed at the time of car seizure, but now dad has been discharged from hospital I have limited help visits, but no help at night. I have to change nappies, cook meals, shop, clean and in other ways care for them. I have other work to do as well. My time is as valuable as yours.

2(a). I again urge you to reconsider this false, time-wasting criminal behaviour by Essex Police, and make amends before this wastes yet more time, taxpayers money and stresses me out further.

2(b). I have been trying non-stop to resolve this amicably from the moment of being stopped but the police officer refused to listen, actually reading out the "you have the right to remain silent Etc" prequel to arrest merely when I begged her that my insurance company Direct Line did indeed cover me. She falsely stated that even if I was insured, my insurance would not cover me to drive my father's car, which she claimed was uninsured. However, my own car of identical make and model had provably been sold the day previously to we.buy.any.car.com in Colchester and Direct Line from that instant onwards were automatically underwriting me for insurance on my father's car, regardless of whether or not he was himself insured (his insurance expires in November 2020, anyway). The rule that the Police database is updated every 7 minutes with insurance data is invalid in this instance, because as Direct Line confirmed to the Police Officer (see the Police Officer's attached email), my existing car insurance is confirmed to cover the car I was driving the moment I sold my car the day previously. Therefore, the Police Officer lied to me at the time, and due to a bad mobile phone signal, I was unable myself to call Direct Line myself at the scene.

2(c). The emails and letters attached contain a great deal more detail about the further harassment I received since my car was received in trying to get an amicable resolution to this malicious, police-time wasting fake abuse of me, and also of the abuse my father received in hospital, as owner of the car, in trying to give authority to me via Pam Ayers of Essex Police, who persisted in repeatedly emailing him non-functional police internet sites to use, which didn't work as father's screen printouts proved, instead of making any effort to resolve the matter amicably. These will be submitted in evidence and used against Nick Green by our solicitor if you force this.

2(d). Once again, I beg you check your facts, apologise for your errors and compensate me for the costs you have maliciously and deliberately incurred me before this matter is taken to court. You are wasting police time and my own time.

2(e). I request acknowledgement of the receipt of this letter to Mick Green.

Yours sincerely,

Nigel B. Cook

Enclosures: sent by post and/or separate PDF email (if the file size is within limit set on the email server)

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10